



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,340	06/25/2003	David Wayne Gregg	64245	2643	
27148 75	590 05/05/2004		EXAMINER		
POLSINELLI	SHALTON & WELT	ADDIE, RAYMOND W			
700 W. 47TH S	STREET	APTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
KANSAS CITY	Y, MO 64112-1802	3671			
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	F)				
Office Action Summary		10/603,340		GREGG, DAVID	WAYNE				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Raymond W	. Addie	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period fo			EVDIDE A MONTUL	(C) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>17 December 200</u>	<u>03</u> .	•					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>35-48</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cons							
	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2003 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a)⊠ accepted ion to the drawing(s) be he correction is require	held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority do None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have beer ocuments have beer f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applica nts have been receiv 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this Nationa	al Stage				
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date 12/17/2003.	O-948) PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		TO-152)				

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-34, drawn to a concrete roller and method of using, classified in class 404, subclass 93.
 - II. Claims 35-48, drawn to a method of making a concrete stamp by molding, classified in class 425, subclass 4R, 542.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made/process of using product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make navigation buoys; while the roller for imprinting a pattern on soft concrete can be made by traditional welding of a drum to end plates and filling with a ballast material, such as sand or foam.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3671

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Jerome Smith on 4/29/2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-34. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 35-48 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-5, 9, 12-15, 19, 20, 22-25, 29, 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chandler et al. # 3,910,738.

Chandler et al. discloses a stamping apparatus (10) comprising:

A roller (14) including a surface defined by a stamp (60).

A receiver portion (16-20, 22, 24) for receiving the roller and being configured for weighting the roller.

A handle (22) in communication with the receiver portion.

Art Unit: 3671

Wherein the apparatus includes a texture; and the stamp (60) includes a layer of material embossed with a pattern and a texture. See Fig. 3.

Further wherein the receiver portion (18) includes at least one holder (24) for holding removable weights.

In regards to Claims 31-34 Chandler et al. discloses a method for stamping concrete comprising:

Providing a stamping apparatus (10) further comprising:

A roller (14) having an outer surface defined by a stamp (60).

A receiver portion (16-20, 22, 24) configured for weighting the roller.

Weighting the receiver portion in accordance with the tightness of the concrete being worked and moving the apparatus over the concrete being worked for stamping the concrete in accordance with the stamp.

Wherein weighting the receiver portion includes adding or removing weight from the receiver portion based on the tightness of the concrete being stamped. See col. 3, lns. 22-29.

Although Chandler et al. does not explicitly recite the method step of "wherein the weighting the receiver portion includes not removing weight and not adding weight to the receiver"; Chandler et al. positively recites "Disk shaped weights, such as disk (26)...may be placed over the rod (24) to vary the weight of the device (10)".

Art Unit: 3671

Hence, it is inherent that Chandler et al., intended to use the device without weigths when the concrete being worked is uncured and highly plastic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 7, 16, 17, 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandler et al. # 3,910,738 in view of Zieger et al. # 5,846,176.

Chandler et al. discloses a concrete stamping apparatus having a receiver portion capable of receiving a compaction drum (14) and having a holder (24) for supporting removable ballast weights (26), but does not disclose the use of a yoke for supporting both ends of the compaction drum.

However, Zieger et al. teaches it is desirable to provide a concrete stamping device with a yoke having oppositely disposed lateral members (18) and a cross bar (16) in communication with the lateral members.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the concrete stamping device of Chandler et al., with a yoke assembly, as taught by Zieger et al., in order to increase the stability of the concrete finishing device. See Zieger et al. col. 3, Ins. 50-65.

Art Unit: 3671

4. Claims 8, 18, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandler et al. '738 in view of Zieger et al. '176 as applied to claims 7, 17, 27 above, and further in view of Wynings # 6,585,451 B2.

Chandler et al. in view of Zieger et al. discloses a concrete stamping apparatus having a yoke capable of receiving a compaction roller (14) and ballast weights (26), but does not disclose providing a pair of holders for supporting a 2 groups of ganged weights.

However, Wynings teaches it is desirable to provide a compaction roller (10) with a yoke assembly (16, 38) including a pair of holders, in the form of weight racks (38) for supporting a group of ganged weights (40) on each lateral end of the yoke (16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the concrete stamping device of Chandler et al., in view of Zieger et al., with a plurality of weight holders, as taught by Wynings, in order to maximize the amount of downward force applied by the compaction roller. See Wynings Col. 3, Ins. 16-40.

5. Claims 11, 21, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandler et al. '738 in view of Zieger et al. '176 as applied to claims 10, 20, 22 above, and further in view of Brimo # 4,776,723.

Chandler et al. in view of Ziegler et al. discloses a concrete stamping device having a compacting roller (14) further having a stamp (60) formed from a material, such as plastic.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/603,340

Art Unit: 3671

What Chandler et al. in view of Ziegler et al. do not disclose is the specific use of urethane rubber. However, Brimo teaches it is desirable to form a concrete stamping tool (50), having a stamp (52, 54, 56) from urethane rubber, in order to increase productivity of the tool. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to make the concrete stamping device from urethane rubber, in order to maximize the productivity of the tool, as reasonably suggested by Brimo, see col. 4, Ins. 15-46; col. 6, Ins. 11-21.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dunham # 1,038,732 discloses an compacting roller having ballast weights (a3). Gundersen # 4,036,126 discloses a compaction roller having ballast weights. Loveland # 1,099,185 discloses a concrete stamping tool.

Art Unit: 3671

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond W. Addie whose telephone number is 703 305-0135. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-2, 6-8.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Addie Patent Examiner Group 3600

4/29/2004